

REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Date of entry in Register:	18 March 2002
Name of applicant/court:	Secretary for Internal Affairs
Applicant to the Labelling Body:	Not Applicable
Title of Publication:	The Face No 58 November 2001
Other Known Titles:	The Face V3 No 58 November 2001
Director:	Not Applicable
Producer:	Not Applicable
Publisher:	Emap Elan Network Ltd
Editor:	Johnny Davis
Format:	Magazine
Country of Origin:	UK
Language:	English

.....

Components of film originally examined: Not Applicable.

Feature:	Running time:
Trailers:	Running time:
	Total Running time:

Excision/Alteration: Not Applicable.

Reason(s) for Excision:

Not Applicable.

... Please turn over

Classification Decision:

Objectionable except if the availability of the publication is restricted to persons who have attained the age of 16 years.

Display Conditions:

That when the publication is on public display, the classification given to the publication must be shown on the publication or any package in which the publication is kept.

Descriptive Note:

Not Applicable.

.....

Direction to issue a label has been given on: Not Applicable.

.....

SUMMARY OF THE REASONS FOR DECISION:

The magazine entitled *The Face No 58 November 2001*, is classified as:
Objectionable except if the availability of the publication is restricted to persons who have attained the age of 16 years.

The publication is a lifestyle magazine, originating from the UK, and aimed at an audience of men and women in their late teens to early twenties. The magazine covers a range of issues of interest to this audience, including music, art, fashion, and, in this particular issue, sex.

The November issue of "The Face" magazine has a focus on sex, and as such, includes various articles, comments and images associated with this. The manner in which sex is treated by the magazine includes references which are euphemistic and humorous, and others which are more explicit in tone.

The magazine has artistic and cultural merit. It is a well produced, textually rich, publication which is considered an authoritative source on the issues and demographic which it addresses.

The New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990 has been considered in relation to this publication. The classification represents the minimum interference with the freedom of expression consistent with preventing likely injury to the public good.

OFLC Ref: 200041